


Below is the anonymous data received from the survey. We received 50% response... 19 of the 38 hospitals. Generally speaking, everyone that responded to this survey answered all of the questions. There are a few questions that were not answered by all and the total number for those questions is highlighted.

Colorado Stroke Alliance 2011 Hospital Survey

The purpose of this survey is to provide the CSA leadership with guidance and future direction of the organization based on CSA participating hospital needs. We welcome your feedback and insight into opportunities for improvement. Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey and provide CSA with valuable information.


1. "The Stroke Alliance provides an opportunity to collect and evaluate valuable baseline data and determine areas for improvement related to stroke interventions, acute management and secondary prevention." Do you agree with this statement?

Response	Total	Percentage
Yes	19	100.00 %
	<u>19</u>	




2. The following goals were established in order to continue receiving grant funds from the CCPD.
3. • By June 2011 through the review of CSA GWTG-S superuser data, identify quality and process improvement opportunities focused on improving statewide performance indicators and data review. Examples of data includes onset to arrival, TPA administration rate, mode of arrival.

Response	Total	Percentage
1-does not meet goals	1	5.26 %
2 meets some goals	4	21.05 %
3 Meets all goals	12	63.16 %
N/A – Unsure if goals are met	2	10.53 %
	19	




4. • By June 2011, continue to analyze and report on the CSA Get With The Guidelines-Stroke (GWTG-S) performance indicators, process improvement, and opportunities for quality improvement through CSA site (hospital) visits and statewide stroke trend reports. Indicators included in the trend report: IV rt-PA 2 Hour; Early Antithrombotics; Antithrombotics; Anticoag for AF; DVT Prophylaxis; LDL 100 or ND; Smoking Cessation; PAA Defect-free Measure; PAA Composite Measure

Response	Total	Percentage
2 meets some goals	4	21.05 %
3 Meets all goals	12	63.16 %
N/A – Unsure if goals are met	3	15.79 %
	19	




5. • By June 2011, retain 36 hospitals participating in CSA representing at least 70% CO hospital stroke discharges and more than 15,000 cases thereby supporting Colorado’s stroke quality and program as a national best-practice model

Response	Total	Percentage
2-meets some goals	1	5.26 %
3-Meets all goals	12	63.16 %
4-exceeds goals	2	10.53 %
N/A – Unsure if goals are met	4	21.05 %
	19	




6. Do you believe that CSA meets the needs of all stakeholders in a non-biased way?

Response	Total	Percentage
1-does not meet our individual needs	1	5.26 %
2 meets some of our needs	3	15.79 %
3 Meets all of our needs	11	57.89 %
4 exceeds expectations	2	10.53 %
N/A – Unsure if needs are met	2	10.53 %
	19	




7. Do you feel you receive the support you need from the CSA Executive Director?

Response	Total	Percentage
1-We receive no support from the Executive Director	1	5.26 %
2-We receive some support, but not enough	1	5.26 %
3-We receive enough support	13	68.42 %
4-Exceeds our expectations for support	2	10.53 %
N/A – Unsure who the Executive Director is	2	10.53 %
	19	



8. Do you find the meetings beneficial?

Response	Total	Percentage
1-We find the meetings to have no benefit for us	2	10.53 %
2- We find some benefit some of the time	8	42.11 %
3- We find benefit with each meeting	5	26.32 %
N/A – We do not participate in the meetings	4	21.05 %
	19	



9. Is the frequency of meetings appropriate?


Response	Total	Percentage
No	2	13.33 %
Yes	13	86.67 %
	15	

10. If you answered no to "is the frequency of the meetings appropriate", please check the appropriate boxes below.

Response	Total	Percentage
There should be fewer meetings	2	66.67 %
There should be more meetings	1	33.33 %
	3	


11. Do you find the mentor meetings beneficial?

Response	Total	Percentage
I do not attend the mentor meetings	10	52.63 %
No	2	10.53 %
Yes	7	36.84 %
	19	



12. Is the frequency of mentor meetings appropriate?

Response	Total	Percentage
I do not attend the mentor meetings	9	50.00 %
No	2	11.11 %
Yes	7	38.89 %
	18	




13. If you answered no to "is the frequency of the mentor meetings appropriate", please check the appropriate boxes below.

There are some abstraction definitions that don't make sense, and clinical issues with the tool that Outcome refers me to AHA for, but then I don't get a response


17. With what frequency do you utilize the data for your hospital on a regular basis

Response	Total	Percentage
annually	1	5.56 %
monthly	13	72.22 %
quarterly	3	16.67 %
We do not use the data from GWTG-S for internal hospital review	1	5.56 %
	18	



18. Do you find the trend report to be valuable?

Response	Total	Percentage
2- I find some value in this information	3	15.79 %
3- The trend reports are valuable	8	42.11 %
4- This is valuable information that I share with other departments/ managers within my facility	7	36.84 %
N/A – I do not review this data	1	5.26 %
	19	



19. If 4, which departments/ management do you share the trend reports with?

Response
Senior leaders, and ED
neuro floor, critical care, ED, radiology, lab, pharmacy and EMS
ED, ICU, telemetry, Stroke Committee, Medical Staff
administration quality managers, stroke team
Neuro sciences.


Med/Surg, clinic,ER, Home Health

Emergency, ciritcal care, administration and during quality reporting

EDs, stroke units, CNO, CMO, Quality, Rehab, CT, and lab.

20. Do you find the published articles by CSA in the journals Stroke and Journal of Neuroscience Nursing informative?

Response	Total	Percentage
2- I find some value in the CSA articles	2	10.53 %
3- The published CSA article are valuable	10	52.63 %
4- This is valuable information that I share with other departments/ managers within my facility	3	15.79 %
N/A – I am not aware of any published CSA articles	4	21.05 %
	19	




21. If 4, which departments/ management do you share the CSA published articles with?

Response
Senior leaders

hospitalists, family practice groups, neurologists


22. Do you believe these articles by CSA demonstrate appropriate use of the registry data?

Response	Total	Percentage
2- I believe that some of the articles demonstrate appropriate use of registry data	2	11.11 %
3- The CSA published articles are an appropriate use of registry data	10	55.56 %
4- This is an extremely appropriate use of registry data	3	16.67 %
N/A – I am not aware of any published CSA articles	3	16.67 %
	18	



25. If CSA were to have reduced funding, would you still participate in GWTG-S and the stroke data registry if we could not offer you partial reimbursement for the cost of the GWTG-S database?

Response	Total	Percentage
No	1	5.26 %
Not sure	3	15.79 %
Yes	15	78.95 %
	19	



26. Moving forward, CSA is looking for opportunities to improve the organization. What do you see as our greatest opportunities for improvement?

Response
leadership, outcome goals and permanent funding
consistent - uniform data collection methods at each facility
use grant money to pay people to enter the data
also looking at neuro base.
increased education programs for stroke that is consistent across the state so that each county doesn't have to recreate the wheel--dispatchers, EMS , grade school, health fairs etc

27. Moving forward, CSA is looking for opportunities to grow the organization. What do you see as our greatest opportunities for growth?

Response
getting more facilities to join CSA
state legislation on a system of care and diversion plans
EMS participation
Pediatric population

28. This survey is anonymous... If you would like us to contact you, please give us your name, phone number or email address, and the hospital you work for. We are happy to follow up with any additional comments, questions or concerns you may have.

Response
